



Settlement and Protection Profiling

Camp 27 Teknaf, Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh

Nov / Dec 2018

December and April 2018 trend comparison


Background and methodology

This profile provides a multi-sector overview of conditions in the Rohingya sites in Cox's Bazar District, Bangladesh to offer insights on the evolving protection needs of refugees living in the camps. Data for the findings was collected in 33 camps from 25 November - 17 December, 2018 for the fourth round of Settlement and Protection Profiling (SPP) funded by UNHCR and implemented by REACH. Key indicators provide contextual and operational findings at the household level. Findings are compared to those of SPP Round 3 which took place from 7-27 March and 15-20 April, 2018¹. Translators Without Borders supported with translating the form into Rohingya.

Primary data was collected through household surveys randomly sampled from shelters located within 33 settlement boundaries. Results of this assessment are generalizable with 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error at the camp level. This factsheet presents an analysis of data collected in Camp 27, where 101 households were surveyed.

The Round 3 form was translated into Bangla then verbally translated into Rohingya by enumerators, while the Round 4 form was translated directly into Rohingya. It is therefore possible that potential differences in communicating questions between Round 3 and 4 may affect comparisons where relevant. Data collection began one week after an attempted repatriation to Myanmar, thus some eligible households chose not to participate out of fear of sharing detailed information. This fear may have created a bias towards those respondents who were willing to participate in this assessment. The non-response rate was 5%.

November/December 2018 data is presented in **dark blue**, and March/April 2018 data is presented in **light blue**.



Key Camp Information

Camp Management Agency	RRRC
Site Management Support Agency	UNHCR / ADRA
Population (individuals) ³	14,354
Population (families) ³	3,172
Camp Area	1.33 km ²
Population density	10,758 individuals/km ²

Demographics

Household composition by gender and age



56% of individuals are under 18


77% of individuals are women and children

Period of arrival³

90% of households arrived 25 August 2017 or later

Household size

Number of individuals per household:



There is an average of **5.3** individuals reported per household


Persons with Specific Needs (PWSN)

% of families with Persons with Specific Needs (PWSN), by need³

Separated children	2%	Unaccompanied children	0%
Older person at risk	3%	Person with disability	3%
Older person at risk and children	1%	Single male parent with infants	1%
Serious medical condition	2%	Single female parent	23%
Families with PWSN	32%		

Protection

% of households reporting their perception of the current security situation in the camp⁴:



1. UNHCR, Bangladesh: UNHCR Camp Settlement and Protection Profiling - Round 3, Apr 2018. <http://bit.ly/2LRI49D>
 2. The boundaries and names used on this map do not imply official endorsement or acceptance by the United Nations
 3. RRRC/UNHCR population data and key demographical indicators (15 Nov 2018 dataset)
 4. Round 4 data only. This indicator was not present in SPP Round 3



Settlement and Protection Profiling - Camp 27

December and April 2018 trend comparison

Top five interventions reported as needing to change or improve in order to improve the sense of safety and security in the camps⁵:

Dec 2018			Apr 2018	
62%	Improved roads/paths	1	Site improvement	60%
60%	Better camp management	2	Warning systems	39%
57%	Advice from UN/NGOs	3	Nothing	32%
23%	Disaster warning systems	4	Family unification points	26%
23%	Increased policing	5	More police / military	20%

Top 3 main risks reported to be faced by adult men and women in the camp^{5,6,7}:

Men			Women	
42%	Kidnapping	1	Violence within home	48%
35%	Violence within community	2	Kidnapping	46%
35%	No issues	3	Natural disasters	39%

Top 3 preferred sources of help reported for various forms of security incidents^{6,8}:

	Involving self or family with persons inside the camps	Involving self or family with persons outside the camp	Witness to security incident within the camps
1	Mahji 91%	Mahji 90%	Mahji 93%
2	CiC 59%	Army 68%	CiC 75%
3	Army 50%	CiC 59%	Army 72%

Food Security

Food assistance

Dec 2018		Apr 2018
83%	of households reported accessing food assistance in the 30 days prior to data collection. Of these, the most common sources were ⁸ :	75%
	WFP / Humanitarian actors	99%
	Bangladesh army	0%
	Private donations	0%
	Other	0%

Consumption-based coping strategies

Top 3 most commonly reported consumption-based coping strategies⁸:

Dec 2018		Apr 2018
85%	Borrow food	93%
52%	Limit portion size	93%
48%	Reduce number of meals	1%

Infant nutrition

Dec 2018		Apr 2018
21%	of households with children under 5 reported receiving a supplementary feeding ration for children under 5 in the 30 days prior to data collection	23%
21%	of households reported receiving a breast-milk substitute donation since arriving in Bangladesh ⁹	7%

Water Sanitation and Hygiene


Water treatment

Dec 2018		Apr 2018
69%	of households reported treating water	22%
40%	of households reported using chlorination tablets (Aquatabs)	13%

Water sources

% of households reporting their main source of drinking water:

Dec 2018 Apr 2018



Hygiene practices

Dec 2018		Apr 2018
67%	of households reported having access to soap	40%
63%	of households reported using public latrines as the usual facility for defecation	55%

7. These results are based on the respondent's subjective perception of risks in the camp, and not the actual number of security incidents

8. Respondents could select multiple options

9. In April data collection, this was asked to every household. In December data collection, this was asked only to households reporting children under 5 in the household.



Settlement and Protection Profiling - Camp 27

December and April 2018 trend comparison

Latrines

Top three reported issues with latrines¹⁰:

Dec 2018

Apr 2018

Too many people	62%	1	45%	Not safe
Full	54%	2	35%	Lack of privacy
Not clean	38%	3	35%	Lack of separation

1.2.3 Priority Needs

Top 3 reported first, second and third priority needs^{11,12}:

	First priority need	Second priority need	Third priority need
1	Access to food 34%	Clothing 28%	Household/ cooking items 25%
2	Fuel 34%	Fuel 19%	Clothing 22%
3	Shelter materials 12%	Shelter materials 13%	Shelter materials 13%

Shelter

Dec 2018

Apr 2018

58%	of households reported living in shelters made of bamboo and plastic sheeting	59%
85%	of households reported living in lockable shelters	65%
22%	of households reported living in shared shelters	38%


Non-Food Items (NFIs)

Fuel

% of households reporting their main source of fuel:

Dec 2018

Apr 2018



Dec 2018

Apr 2018

100%	of households reported cooking inside their shelter	84%
84%	of households reported receiving NFI kits since arriving in Bangladesh	72%

10. Respondents could select multiple options

11. Respondents could give up to three answers

12. Round 4 data only. This indicator was not present in SPP Round 3.

Top 3 most important forms of assistance reported as needed to address household shelter needs^{11,12}:

1	Fuel	70%
2	Clothing	64%
3	Cooking items	50%

Health

Top 3 reported concerns about healthcare in the camp^{11,12}:

1	Treatment unavailable	62%
2	Supplies unavailable	38%
3	Clinic too far	37%

Communication with Communities

Sources of information

Top 3 reported preferred methods of communication¹²:

1	Face-to-face	96%	Dec 2018
2	Loudspeakers	74%	Dec 2018
3	Phone call	65%	Apr 2018

Site Management

11% of households reported they face barriers in accessing assistance in the camps¹⁰. Of these households, the most common assistance types that households face barriers accessing are^{10,12}:

1	Food	100%
2	Health	27%
3	WASH	18%

Education

80% of households reported they are satisfied with the education available in the camps¹¹

Top 3 education priorities for children^{10,12}:

1	Better teachers	56%
2	Religious education	46%
3	Improved curriculum	44%