



# Settlement and Protection Profiling

## Camp 19 Ukhia, Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh

Nov / Dec 2018

December and April 2018 trend comparison


### Background and methodology

This profile provides a multi-sector overview of conditions in the Rohingya sites in Cox's Bazar District, Bangladesh to offer insights on the evolving protection needs of refugees living in the camps. Data for the findings was collected in 33 camps from 25 November - 17 December, 2018 for the fourth round of Settlement and Protection Profiling (SPP) funded by UNHCR and implemented by REACH. Key indicators provide contextual and operational findings at the household level. Findings are compared to those of SPP Round 3 which took place from 7-27 March and 15-20 April, 2018<sup>1</sup>. Translators Without Borders supported with translating the form into Rohingya.

Primary data was collected through household surveys randomly sampled from shelters located within 33 settlement boundaries. Results of this assessment are generalizable with 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error at the camp level. This factsheet presents an analysis of data collected in Camp 19, where 95 households were surveyed.

The Round 3 form was translated into Bangla then verbally translated into Rohingya by enumerators, while the Round 4 form was translated directly into Rohingya. It is therefore possible that potential differences in communicating questions between Round 3 and 4 may affect comparisons where relevant. Data collection began one week after an attempted repatriation to Myanmar, thus some eligible households chose not to participate out of fear of sharing detailed information. This fear may have created a bias towards those respondents who were willing to participate in this assessment. The non-response rate was 5%.

November/December 2018 data is presented in **dark blue**, and March/April 2018 data is presented in **light blue**.



### Key Camp Information

Camp Management Agency	RRRC
Site Management Support Agency	IOM / IOM
Population (individuals) <sup>3</sup>	20,852
Population (families) <sup>3</sup>	4,816
Camp Area	0.77 km <sup>2</sup>
Population density	27,198 individuals/km <sup>2</sup>

### Demographics

#### Household composition by gender and age



**54%** of individuals are under 18


**77%** of individuals are women and children

#### Period of arrival<sup>3</sup>

**91%** of households arrived 25 August 2017 or later

#### Household size

Number of individuals per household:



There is an average of **5.3** individuals reported per household


#### Persons with Specific Needs (PWSN)

% of families with Persons with Specific Needs (PWSN), by need<sup>3</sup>

Separated children	3%	Unaccompanied children	1%
Older person at risk	5%	Person with disability	4%
Older person at risk and children	2%	Single male parent with infants	1%
Serious medical condition	2%	Single female parent	16%
Families with PWSN	29%		

### Protection

% of households reporting their perception of the current security situation in the camp<sup>4</sup>:



1. UNHCR, Bangladesh: UNHCR Camp Settlement and Protection Profiling - Round 3, Apr 2018. <http://bit.ly/2LRI49D>  
 2. The boundaries and names used on this map do not imply official endorsement or acceptance by the United Nations  
 3. RRRC/UNHCR population data and key demographical indicators (15 Nov 2018 dataset)  
 4. Round 4 data only. This indicator was not present in SPP Round 3



# Settlement and Protection Profiling - Camp 19

## December and April 2018 trend comparison

Top five interventions reported as needing to change or improve in order to improve the sense of safety and security in the camps<sup>5</sup>:

Dec 2018			Apr 2018	
79%	Improved roads/paths	1	More police / military	36%
60%	Advice from UN/NGOs	2	Warning systems	36%
41%	Disaster warning systems	3	Site improvement	29%
32%	Better camp management	4	Permission to move freely	23%
31%	Locks for shelters	5	Locks	20%

Top 3 main risks reported to be faced by adult men and women in the camp<sup>5,6,7</sup>:

Men			Women	
41%	Natural disasters	1	Natural disasters	43%
40%	Kidnapping	2	No issues	38%
29%	Violence within community	3	Kidnapping	31%

Top 3 preferred sources of help reported for various forms of security incidents<sup>6,8</sup>:

	Involving self or family with persons inside the camps	Involving self or family with persons outside the camp	Witness to security incident within the camps
1	Mahji 98%	Mahji 86%	Mahji 91%
2	CiC 58%	CiC 73%	CiC 71%
3	Army 24%	Army 35%	Army 29%

## Food Security

### Food assistance

Dec 2018		Apr 2018
96%	of households reported accessing food assistance in the 30 days prior to data collection. Of these, the most common sources were <sup>8</sup> :	99%
100%	WFP / Humanitarian actors	100%
0%	Bangladesh army	0%
0%	Private donations	N/A
0%	Other	N/A

## Consumption-based coping strategies

Top 3 most commonly reported consumption-based coping strategies<sup>8</sup>:

Dec 2018		Apr 2018
87%	Borrow food	85%
58%	Eat less preferred food	15%
53%	Limit portion size	0%

## Infant nutrition

Dec 2018		Apr 2018
71%	of households with children under 5 reported receiving a supplementary feeding ration for children under 5 in the 30 days prior to data collection	64%
24%	of households reported receiving a breast-milk substitute donation since arriving in Bangladesh <sup>9</sup>	11%

## Water Sanitation and Hygiene

### Water treatment

Dec 2018		Apr 2018
62%	of households reported treating water	21%
41%	of households reported using chlorination tablets (Aquatabs)	0%

### Water sources

% of households reporting their main source of drinking water:

Dec 2018		Apr 2018
100%	Tubewell/borehole	98%
0%	Piped water	2%
0%	Tanker truck	0%
0%	Rainwater	0%
0%	Surface water	N/A
0%	Protected dugwell	N/A
0%	Unprotected dugwell	N/A
0%	Protected spring	N/A
0%	Water tank	N/A
0%	Cart w small drum	N/A

### Hygiene practices

Dec 2018		Apr 2018
31%	of households reported having access to soap	76%
84%	of households reported using public latrines as the usual facility for defecation	70%

7. These results are based on the respondent's subjective perception of risks in the camp, and not the actual number of security incidents

8. Respondents could select multiple options

9. In April data collection, this was asked to every household. In December data collection, this was asked only to households reporting children under 5 in the household.



# Settlement and Protection Profiling - Camp 19

December and April 2018 trend comparison

## Latrines

Top three reported issues with latrines<sup>10</sup>:

<b>Dec 2018</b>					<b>Apr 2018</b>
Too many people	60%	1	59%	No problem	
Not clean	51%	2	20%	Not enough	
Full	45%	3	15%	Lack of privacy	

## 1.2.3 Priority Needs

Top 3 reported first, second and third priority needs<sup>11,12</sup>:

	First priority need	Second priority need	Third priority need
1	Fuel 43%	Clothing 28%	Clothing 32%
2	Access to food 37%	Fuel 23%	Household/ cooking items 22%
3	Shelter materials 5%	Access to food 15%	Access to food 17%


## Shelter

<b>Dec 2018</b>		<b>Apr 2018</b>
81%	of households reported living in shelters made of bamboo and plastic sheeting	98%
76%	of households reported living in lockable shelters	70%
7%	of households reported living in shared shelters	53%

## Non-Food Items (NFIs)

### Fuel

% of households reporting their main source of fuel:



<b>Dec 2018</b>		<b>Apr 2018</b>
94%	of households reported cooking inside their shelter	77%
92%	of households reported receiving NFI kits since arriving in Bangladesh	62%

10. Respondents could select multiple options  
 11. Respondents could give up to three answers  
 12. Round 4 data only. This indicator was not present in SPP Round 3.

Top 3 most important forms of assistance reported as needed to address household shelter needs<sup>11,12</sup>:

1	Fuel	91%
2	Clothing	71%
3	Cooking items	49%

## Health

Top 3 reported concerns about healthcare in the camp<sup>11,12</sup>:

1	Supplies unavailable	71%
2	Treatment unavailable	55%
3	Clinic too far	39%

## Communication with Communities

### Sources of information

Top 3 reported preferred methods of communication<sup>12</sup>:

1	Face-to-face	99%	
2	Loudspeakers	65%	Dec 2018
3	Phone call	61%	Apr 2018

## Site Management

3% of households reported they face barriers in accessing assistance in the camps<sup>10</sup>. Of these households, the most common assistance types that households face barriers accessing are<sup>10,12</sup>:

1	Food	67%
2	WASH	67%
3	NFIs	33%

## Education

93% of households reported they are satisfied with the education available in the camps<sup>11</sup>

Top 3 education priorities for children<sup>10,12</sup>:

1	Improved curriculum	58%
2	Better teachers	54%
3	Religious education	34%